



STATE OF DELAWARE
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
800 BAY ROAD
P.O. Box 778
DOVER, DELAWARE 19903

CAROLANN WICKS, P.E.
SECRETARY

(302) 760-2030
FAX (302) 739-2254

December 5, 2006

Interested Design Builders:

RE: Contract No. 26-073-03
Federal Aid Project No. BRN-S050(14)
Replacement of Bridge 3-156 on SR-1 over Indian River Inlet
Sussex County

Attached is Addendum No. 4 to the RFP for the referenced contract consisting of the following:

1. Three (3) pages, Form RCF, Responses to Form CF, Q12 through Q16, new, to be added the Scope of Services Package, ITP.
2. One (1) page, Instruction To Proposers, Appendix A – Technical Proposal Instructions, Page A-12, revised, to be substituted for the same page in the Scope of Services Package, ITP.
3. One (1) page, Instruction To Proposers, Appendix C – Proposal Forms, Form NS, revised, to be substituted for the same page in the Scope of Services Package, ITP.
4. Six (6) pages, Part 1 – Agreement, Appendix A – Project Scope, pages A-1 through A-6, revised, to be substituted for the same pages in the Scope of Services Package, Contract Documents.
5. Two (2) pages, Part 2 – DB Section 102 – General Notices, Requirements and Conditions, Appendix 102A – Prevailing Wage Rates, State of Delaware Prevailing Wages, page 1, revised, to be substituted for the same page in the Scope of Services Package, Contract Documents, page 1A, new, to be added to the Scope of Services Package, Contract Documents.
6. Three (3) pages, Part 2 – DB Section 108 – Progress and Prosecution, Appendix 108A - Forms, Progress Narrative Format and Form SAF, new, to be added to the Scope of Services Package, Contract Documents.

7. Seventeen (17) pages, Part 3 – Design Requirements and Performance Specs, Bridge Design Requirements, pages 1 of 17 through 17 of 17, revised, to be substituted for the same pages in the Scope of Services Package, Contract Documents. (Part 3 sequence pages 3 through 19.)
8. Fourteen (14) pages, Part 3 – Design Requirements and Performance Specs, Bridge Security System, pages 1 of 14 through 14 of 14, deleted, to be removed from the Scope of Services Package, Contract Documents. (Part 3 sequence pages 26 through 39.)
9. Ten (10) pages, Part 3 – Design Requirements and Performance Specs, Bridge Security System, pages 1 of 10 through 10 of 10, new, to be added to the Scope of Services Package, Contract Documents. (Part 3 sequence pages 26 through 35.)
10. Four (4) pages, Part 3 – Design Requirements and Performance Specs, Sequencing Pages, pages 36 through 39, new, to be added to the Scope of Services Package, Contract Documents.
11. Nine (9) pages, Part 3 – Design Requirements and Performance Specs, Inspection, Maintenance and Construction Requirements, pages 5 of 13 through 13 of 13, revised, to be substituted for the same pages in the Scope of Services Package, Contract Documents. (Part 3 sequence pages 101 through 109.)
12. One (1) page, Part 3 - Design Requirements and Performance Specs, Roadway Geometrics, page 3 of 4 revised, to be substituted for the same pages in the Scope of Services Package, Contract Documents. (Part 3 sequence page 122.)
13. Two (2) pages, Part 3 – Design Requirements and Performance Specs, University of Delaware Bridge Monitoring Program, pages 1, revised, to be substituted for the same pages in the Scope of Services Package, Contract Documents, page 1A, new, to be added to the Scope of Services Package, Contract Documents. (Part 3 sequence pages 128 and 128A.)

Please note the revisions listed above and submit your Proposal based upon this information.

Very truly yours,



John V. Eustis, Jr.
Contract Services Administrator

:jve, jr.
attach.

Delaware Department of Transportation

FORM RCF

SCOPE OF SERVICES PACKAGE RESPONSE COMMENT FORM

Q No.	Part Number	Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Response
Q12	ITP	1.7.1 and 2.4	<p>The Proposal Schedule shown in 1.7.1 refers to a “Date for Initial Technical Proposal Submission” (currently shown as December 20, 2006). Paragraph 2.4, Technical Concept Review appears only to refer to the technical concepts that were presented to DelDOT on November 9, 2006.</p> <p>Our interpretation of the requirements for the December 20th submittal is that only the final proposed bridge scheme is presented and that this consists of type, size and location plans prepared in accordance with DelDOT standards. Please clarify the intent of DelDOT with respect to the requirements of the December 20th submittal since there appears to be no guidance in the Scope of Services Package with respect to this submittal.</p>	<p>Section 2.4 of the Instructions to Proposers references the review of Technical Concepts only.</p> <p>The Initial Technical Proposal submission, currently scheduled for December 20th, is to be based on one final proposed concept. Detailed requirements for the Initial Technical Proposal submission are included in the Instructions to Proposers, Appendix A.</p> <p>Please note Volume 1 legal information referenced in ITP, Appendix A is not required for the Initial Technical Proposal submission. However, all other specified information shall be included in the Initial Technical Proposal submission.</p>
Q13	Appendix A	Table A	<p>The current Outline for Submittal of the Technical Proposal calls for the completion of Form NS and Form SV to be submitted with the Technical Proposal. Since the Technical Proposals are due roughly a month before the submittal of the price proposal, a contractor would not have generally made final commitments to subcontractors to list in Form NS nor would the Schedule of Values required for Form SV have been completed. Additionally, it is likely that the final construction schedule would continue to be refined up to the submittal of the Price Proposal.</p>	<p>Form NS is to be included in Volume 1 of the Technical Proposal as specified in ITP, Appendix A. As indicated in Response #12, this information is not required until the Final Technical Proposal due date currently scheduled for January 31, 2007.</p> <p>A draft schedule and draft version of Form SV will be acceptable for the Initial Technical Proposal Submission. These items shall be</p>

Delaware Department of Transportation

Q No.	Part Number	Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Response
			<p>Would DeIDOT consider allowing the submission of Forms NS and SV and the final baseline schedule with the Price Proposal instead of the Technical Proposal? It should be noted that a draft schedule could be provided with the Technical Proposal but we would like the opportunity to finalize the document up until the time of bid.</p>	<p>finalized for the Final Technical Proposal Submission in order to allow for complete scoring of the Technical Proposals.</p> <p>The Department will eliminate the requirement to submit percentage price values on Form SV as part of the Technical Proposal submission. However, “Project Sections” and the associated “Project Components” to be defined by the Proposer shall finalized on Form SV as part of the Final Technical Proposal submission. The requirements for Form SV will be addressed in an addendum.</p>
Q14	ITP	2.4	<p>With regard to the Initial Technical Proposal Submission, we have the following questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Must the submittal be made in accordance with the final Technical Proposal format, that is in separate volumes? This would be unduly burdensome for a draft submittal as it is expected that ongoing work will continue on the Initial Technical Proposal until the submittal is due. Our preference would be to submit the Initial Technical Proposal in a single volume tabbed to reflect the final volumes. b. It is not clear from the RFQ, but we assume that this review is to allow DeIDOT an opportunity to review the status of the Technical Proposal and will not be scored or used towards the determination of any final overall score. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. With the exception of Volume 5, Bridge Security, it is acceptable to distinguish each “volume” by use of tabs. It is not necessary to provide each volume in a separate binder or booklet. b. The initial technical proposal will not be scored. Only the final technical proposal will be scored. The initial technical proposal will, as noted, provide the Department the opportunity to review and comment.

Delaware Department of Transportation

Q No.	Part Number	Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Response
Q15	Appendix C	Proposal Forms	Please provide editable pdf or Microsoft Word versions of all forms	Provided
Q16	Part 3	3.5.4	The present Design Criteria requires that the sidewalk be designed for either Pedestrian Load or Maintenance Vehicle Load, but not both. If an underbridge traveler were to be provided, is it necessary to design for the Maintenance Vehicle Loading. Further, since the backspans are over ground, they can be inspected using manlifts. Is it necessary to design for the Maintenance Vehicle Loading for the backspans?	The Department must have the option to use a UBIV for certain maintenance and inspection activities. The combined use walkway shall be configured to allow for continuous access over the entire bridge length. The associated load effects shall be considered over the entire bridge length. The load requirements are clarified in Addendum 4.