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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Hazard Elimination Program (HEP) site selection process was used to determine ten (10) roadway segments within the state of Delaware that meet the following crash history criteria:

- Dark crash Critical Ratio greater than one (1); and
- Ten (10) or more accidents occurring in the three (3) year study period within a one (1) mile roadway segment.

The evaluation and recommendations for US 9 (County Seat Highway, Sussex Road 28), beginning at Milepost 8.90, approximately 0.85 miles south of Old Furnace Road (Sussex Road 46) / Whaleys Corner Road (Sussex Road 329) at the Sussex County Technical School, and ending at Milepost 10.39, approximately 0.64 miles north of Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road in Sussex County, Delaware, are included in this report. This report includes the following tasks:

- Reviewed crash history;
- Reviewed existing conditions;
- Identified specific sites where crashes are occurring; and
- Provided recommendations to improve conditions.

Police crash reports were analyzed to identify high crash sites along US Route 9 from Milepost 8.90 to 10.39. The crash patterns at one (1) site, US Route 9 and Old Furnace Road (Sussex Road 46) / Whaleys Corner Road (Sussex Road 329) intersection, garnered evaluation for potential nighttime safety improvements.

The study concluded that roadway lighting was not recommended for the US Route 9 and Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road intersection. However, other non-lighting improvements were recommended including trimming tree branches for a guide sign, restriping a stop bar and lane markings, and patching a pavement breach at the intersection.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this study was to evaluate locations with the highest ratios of crashes occurring during dark conditions throughout the state of Delaware. The study included reviewing crash history and existing conditions of the locations, identifying specific sites where crashes are occurring and providing recommendations to improve conditions.

The study included three parts:
Part 1: Location Selection - The Hazard Elimination Program (HEP) site selection process was used to determine ten (10) locations statewide with a dark crashes Critical Ratio greater than one and ten (10) or more crashes occurring in the three year study period within a one-mile roadway segment. Results from the location selection process were reviewed in coordination with DeIDOT. Corridors that were already part of an ongoing HSIP or HEP project were not included in this study. The Critical Ratio methodology was used in the location selection process. The list of statewide 1.0 mile corridors ranked by Critical Ratio is included in Appendix D.

Part 2: Evaluation - After the list of the top ten locations was approved by DeIDOT, initial review was performed for each selected location. The evaluation included field visits to the sites; collecting information on existing roadway and traffic conditions; crash analysis; preliminary lighting evaluation; and this report. The report includes existing lighting analysis; concept lighting improvement alternatives; other signing, striping and signal recommendations in accordance with the Delaware Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP), particularly related to dark crashes; potential design/implementation issues, and identification of the need for more detailed studies (Phase II studies).

Part 3: Coordination - When possible, DelDOT-approved recommendations will be coordinated for inclusion into the construction of ongoing projects. (HEP, Pavement \& Rehabilitation, PD, etc.)

The location selection process resulted in a list of ten locations, including US 9 (County Seat Highway, Sussex Road 28) from milepost 8.90 to 10.39.

## Study Area Characteristics

The study area includes US 9 (County Seat Highway, Sussex Road 28), beginning at Milepost 8.90, approximately 0.85 miles south of Old Furnace Road (Sussex Road 46) / Whaleys Corner Road (Sussex Road 329) at the Sussex County Technical School, and ending at Milepost 10.39, approximately 0.64 miles north of Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road in Sussex County, Delaware, are included in this report.

In the vicinity of the site, US Route 9 is an undivided, two-lane roadway. The posted speed limit is 50 miles-per-hour. The road is classified as a minor arterial roadway throughout the project area. The road experienced ADTs of 6,170 vehicles west of Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road and 10,250 vehicles east of Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road in 2011.

There is a shoulder along US Route 9 throughout the project area except near the US Route 9 and Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road signalized intersection.

Raised pavement markers are present on US Route 9 throughout the project area.
A study area map is provided in Figure 1.


## 2. CRASH DATA SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS

Police crash reports were analyzed to identify high crash sites along US Route 9 from Milepost 8.90 to 10.39. This evaluation was based on crash data during the three year period from May 16, 2008 to May 16, 2011.

A total of forty-five (45) crashes occurred along US Route 9 from Milepost 8.90 to 10.39 during the evaluation period. Eleven (11) of the crashes resulted in personal injuries (24\%). Rear-end collisions made up 19 of the 45 crashes ( $42 \%$ ). Run-off-the-road collisions with fixed objects made up 12 of the 45 crashes ( $27 \%$ ).

Of the 45 crashes, 12 (27\%) occurred during dark conditions.
Appendix A shows the crash data summary.
The crash patterns at the following site garnered evaluation for potential nighttime safety improvements

- US Route 9 between Milepost 9.55 and 9.88 , which includes the US Route 9 and Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road intersection.

Appendix B shows the crash diagram for this roadway segment.
There were no other crash patterns identified within this roadway segment.
Site \#1: US Route 9 (MP 9.55 to 9,88)

There were thirty-one (31) total crashes along US Route 9 between Milepost 9.55 and 9.88. Eight (8) of these thirty-one (31) crashes (26\%) occurred during dark conditions.

Of the thirty-one (31) crashes along this roadway segment, eighteen (18) occurred at the US Route 9 and Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road intersection. Therefore, the intersection was selected for further evaluation due to the high frequency of crashes.

## 3. SITE CHARACTERISTICS

An initial field visit was conducted to collect information on existing conditions including: roadway geometry, signage, striping, signal poles locations, and utility pole locations.

## Site \#1: US Route 9 (County Seat Highway) @ Old Furnace Road (Sussex Road 46) / Whaleys

 Corner Road (Sussex Road 329) Intersection (M.P. 9.75)US Route 9 and Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road intersection is located at Milepost 9.75 of US Route 9 . The intersection is signalized and there is no existing street lighting. There is a span wire pole on both the southeast corner and the north-west corner. The eastbound approach along US Route 9 has one (1) shared through-left-right lane with a shoulder. The westbound approach has one (1) shared through-left lane and one (1) right-turn lane without a shoulder. The northbound approach along Whaleys Corner Road has one (1) shared through-left-right lane without a shoulder. The southbound approach along Old Furnace Road has one (1) shared through/left-right lane without a shoulder. There are no raised curbs at the intersection. There is no existing sidewalk or crosswalk at the intersection.

There is a parking area located on the northwest corner; access is not limited. There are three (3) residential driveways near the intersection:

- One (1) approximately one-hundred (100) feet south of the intersection along northbound Whaleys Corner Road;
- Two (2) approximately one-hundred (100) feet east of the intersection along eastbound US Route 9; and
- One (1) approximately one-hundred (100) feet west of the intersection along eastbound US Route 9.


Photo 1: Facing East on US Route 9 approaching Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road


Photo 2: Facing West on US Route 9 approaching Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road

Photo 1 and Photo 2 show the eastbound and westbound approaches along US Route 9.


Photo 3: US Route 9 (County Seat Highway) @ Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road Intersection

Photo 3 shows the parking area and driveways near the intersection mentioned above.

## 4. LIGHTING WARRANT EVALUATION

The DeIDOT Lighting Guidelines contain the conditions for determining if lighting is warranted at a given site. A warrant analysis for the US Route 9 and Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road intersection is included below.

## Site \#1: US Route 9 at Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road (MP 9.75)

Section 2.3, Lighting Warrants, of the DeIDOT Lighting Guidelines states that lighting may be installed at "locations where crash patterns indicate that lighting may reduce crashes and where the percentage of nighttime accidents is 35 percent or greater."

## Crash Patterns

The Crash Data Summary and Evaluation showed that there were eighteen (18) total crashes at the Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road intersection during the three (3) year evaluation period. Three (3) of these crashes (17\%) occurred during dark conditions.

The following list shows the circumstances surrounding each dark crash at the intersection:

- Vehicle traveling west along US Route 9 sideswiped vehicle traveling in the same direction on westbound US Route 9
- Vehicle traveling eastbound along US Route 9 turning left collides with vehicle traveling through the intersection westbound along US Route 9
- Vehicle weaving through traffic sideswiped vehicle traveling in the same direction before hitting another vehicle on eastbound US Route 9


## Percentage of Nighttime Accidents

Seventeen (17) percent of the crashes at the site occurred during dark conditions. The crash history at this intersection does not meet the conditions for a location where lighting "may be installed," as per Section 2.3 of the DeIDOT Lighting Guidelines.

## Lighting Warrant Results

Intersection lighting is not warranted based on the vehicle crash history.

## 5. PREVIOUS STUDIES RECOMMENDATIONS

Previous roadway studies have been completed by DeIDOT for the US Route 9 and Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road intersection. The following list shows the site name and date of the previous studies:

- Site K Dated 2000 (No recommendations were presented in this study)
- Site H Task I and Task II Dated 2007


## Site H Task I and Task II Dated 2007 Intersection Recommendations

| IMPLEMENTED | Replace damaged Street Name Sign for Old Furnace Road posted on the <br> north-east corner of the intersection. |
| :---: | :--- |
| IMPLEMENTED | Extend the stop line through the right-turn lane located on the westbound <br> US Route 9 approach. |
| IMPLEMENTED | Eliminate the passing zones (install double yellow centerline) along US <br> Route 9 within 200-feet of the intersection. |
| IMPLEMENTED | Install supplemental name plates with the Signal Ahead signs on the <br> eastbound and westbound US Route 9 approaches. |

## 6. RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS AND PROJECT COORDINATION

Recommendations are included below, and recommended improvements are summarized in the following table.

Based on the crash history and existing conditions it is recommended not to implement lighting at the US Route 9 and Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road signalized intersection.

## Site \#1: US Route 9 @ Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road Intersection (M.P. 9.75)

## Roadway Lighting

Since lighting is not warranted based on crash history, and there are no plans for any new residential or commercial developments in close proximity to the intersection, roadway lighting is not recommended.

## Other Improvements

During the field visit it was realized that there are some existing conditions that could be improved near the intersection with low costs. The possible improvements are recommended as follows.

Trim tree branches covering the guide sign on the southbound Old Furnace Road approach at the intersection. Photo 4 shows the existing condition of the sign view from driver eyes' height.

Restripe a stop bar and lane markings on the southbound approach at the intersection. Photo 5 shows the existing stop bar and lane striping conditions on the southbound Furnace Road approach to the intersection.

Patch the pavement breach on the edge of the eastbound US Route 9 approach to the intersection. Photo 6a and Photo 6b show the existing condition of the pavement breach.

Recommended Improvements

| Site | Recommended Improvement |
| :---: | :--- |
| \#1. US 9 @ Old Furnace <br> Rd / Whaley's Corner Rd <br> Intersection (MP 9.75) | Trim tree branches covering the guide sign on the southbound Old |
|  |  |
|  | Restripe a stop bar and lane markings on the southbound Furnace <br> Ratch the pavement breach on the edge of the eastbound US Route <br> 9 approach to the intersection. |



Photo 4: The Guide Sign Covered by Tree Branches on the Southbound Old Furnace Road Approach at US Route 9


Photo 5: Existing Stop Bar and Lane Striping on Southbound Old Furnace Road Approach at US Route 9


Photo 6a: A Pavement Breach on the Eastbound US Route 9 Approach at Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road (Looking North)


Photo 6b: A Pavement Breach on the Eastbound US Route 9 Approach at Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road (Looking East)

APPENDIX A: Crash Data Summary

## Delaware Crash Analysis Reporting System (CARS)

Crash Study Time Period:
Query Type:
Description:

Study Period from 05-16-2008 to 05-16-2011
roadBuffer
S28 US 9 County Seat Highway
MP 8.90-10.39

LD
tdtsswn
45
N

## Study Code:



State of Delaware Crash Study Summary
Study Period from 05-16-2008 to 05-16-2011

| Summary |  | Classification |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Crashes |  | \# of Crashes | \% of Total |
| Total Crashes | 45 |  |  | Crashes |
| Fatal Crashes | 0 | NonReportable | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Total AlcoholRelated Crashes | 3 | Reportable <br> Repa | 34 | 75.56\% |
| Total Non AlcoholRelated Crashes | 42 | Personal Injury | 11 | 24.44\% |
| Total Fatalities | 0 | Fatality | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Total Pedestrian | 0 | Total | 45 |  |
| Fatalities |  |  |  |  |
| Total Pedestrian Injuries | 0 |  |  |  |
| Total Pedestrian Crashes | 0 |  |  |  |
| Total Motorcycle Crashes | 0 |  |  |  |
| Total Pedalcyclist Crashes | 0 |  |  |  |


| Manner Of Impact |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Crashes | \% of Total <br> Crashes |
| Front to rear | 19 | $42.22 \%$ |
| Front to front | 2 | $4.44 \%$ |
| Angle | 8 | $17.78 \%$ |
| Sideswipe, <br> same <br> direction | 2 | $4.44 \%$ |
| Sideswipe, <br> opposite <br> direction | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Rear to side | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Rear to rear | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Other | 2 | $4.44 \%$ |
| Unknown | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Not a <br> collision <br> between two <br> vehicles | 12 | $26.67 \%$ |
| Total | 45 |  |


| Alcohol Related Crashes by Classification |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-reportable | Reportable | Personal Injury | Fatality | Total |
| Alcohol Related | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Non-Alcohol Related | 0 | 33 | 9 | 0 | 42 |
| Total | 0 | 34 | 11 | 0 | 45 |
| Manner of Impact By Classification |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Non-Reportable | Reportable | Personal Injury | Fatality | Total |
| Front to rear | 0 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 19 |
| Front to front | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Angle | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| Sideswipe, same direction | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Sideswipe, opposite direction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rear to side | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rear to rear | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Not a collision between two vehicles | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 12 |
| Total | 0 | 34 | 11 | 0 | 45 |


| Day Of Week |  |  | Time Of Day (AM) |  |  | Time Of Day (PM) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { Crashes } \end{gathered}$ | \% of Total Crashes |  | \# of Crashes | $\%$ of Total Crashes |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { Crashes } \end{gathered}$ | \% of Total Crashes |
| Sunday | 5 | 11.11\% | 00:00-00:59 | 0 | 0.00\% | 12:00-12:59 | 3 | 6.67\% |
| Monday | 7 | 15.56\% | 01:00-01:59 | 0 | 0.00\% | 13:00-13:59 | 1 | 2.22\% |
| Tuesday | 6 | 13.33\% | 02:00-02:59 | 2 | 4.44\% | 14:00-14:59 | 1 | 2.22\% |
| Wednesday | 6 | 13.33\% | 03:00-03:59 | 0 | 0.00\% | 15:00-15:59 | 11 | 24.44\% |
| Thursday | 8 | 17.78\% | 04:00-04:59 | 0 | 0.00\% | 16:00-16:59 | 2 | 4.44\% |
| Friday | 11 | 24.44\% | 05:00-05:59 | 1 | 2.22\% | 17:00-17:59 | 1 | 2.22\% |
| Saturday | 2 | 4.44\% | 06:00-06:59 | 0 | 0.00\% | 18:00-18:59 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Total | 45 |  | 07:00-07:59 | 5 | 11.11\% | 19:00-19:59 | 3 | 6.67\% |
|  |  |  | 08:00-08:59 | 7 | 15.56\% | 20:00-20:59 | 1 | 2.22\% |
|  |  |  | 09:00-09:59 | 1 | 2.22\% | 21:00-21:59 | 2 | 4.44\% |
|  |  |  | 10:00-10:59 | 0 | 0.00\% | 22:00-22:59 | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  |  |  | 11:00-11:59 | 2 | 4.44\% | 23:00-23:59 | 2 | 4.44\% |
|  |  |  | Total | 18 |  | Total | 27 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Unknown Time | 0 |  |
|  | face Conditio |  | Lig | hting Conditi |  |  | ather Conditi |  |
|  | \# of Crashes | \% of Total Crashes |  | \# of Crashes | \% of Total Crashes |  | \# of Crashes | \% of Total Crashes |
| Dry | 19 | 42.22\% | Daylight | 31 | 68.89\% | Clear | 21 | 46.67\% |
| Wet | 22 | 48.89\% | Dawn | 0 | 0.00\% | Cloudy | 1 | 2.22\% |
| Snow | 1 | 2.22\% | Dusk | 1 | 2.22\% | Fog, Smog, | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Ice/Frost | 3 | 6.67\% | Dark-Lighted | 1 | 2.22\% |  |  |  |
| Sand | 0 | 0.00\% | Dark-Not | 12 | 26.67\% | Rain | 21 | 46.67\% |
| Water | 0 | 0.00\% | Lighted |  |  | Sleet, Hail | 0 | 0.00\% |
| (standing, mo |  |  | Dark- | 0 | 0.00\% | (freezing rain or |  |  |
| Slush | 0 | 0.00\% | Unknown Lighting |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rain or } \\ & \text { drizzle) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Oil | 0 | 0.00\% | Lighting | 0 | 0.00\% | Snow | 2 | 4.44\% |
| Mud, Dirt, Gravel | 0 | 0.00\% | OUnknown | 0 | 0.00\% | Blowing Snow | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Other | 0 | 0.00\% | Total | 45 |  | Severe | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.00\% |  |  |  | Crosswinds |  |  |
| Total | 45 |  |  |  |  | Blowing Sand, Soil, Dirt | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Other | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Unknown | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 45 |  |


| First Harmful Event |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Crashes | \% of Total Crashes |
| Overturn/Rollover, Non-Collision | 1 | 2.22\% |
| Fire/Explosion, Non-Collision | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Immersion, Non-Collision | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Jackknife, Non-Collision | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Cargo/Equipment Loss or Shift, NonCollision | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Fell/Jumped From Motor Vehicle, NonCollision | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Thrown or Falling Object, Non-Collision | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Other Non-Collision, Non-Collision | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Pedestrian, Collision With Person, Motor Vehicle, or Non-Fixed Object | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Pedalcycle, Collision With Person, Motor Vehicle, or Non-Fixed Object | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Railway Vehicle (train, engine), Collision With Person, Motor Vehicle, or NonFixed Object | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Animal, Collision With Person, Motor Vehicle, or Non-Fixed Object | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Motor Vehicle in Transport, Collision With Person, Motor Vehicle, or Non-Fixed Object | 29 | 64.44\% |
| Legally Parked Motor Vehicle, Collision With Person, Motor Vehicle, or NonFixed Object | 1 | 2.22\% |
| Struck by Falling, Shifting Cargo or Anything Set in Motion by Motor Vehicle, Collision With Person, Motor Vehicle, or Non-Fixed Object | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Work Zone / Maintenance Equipment, Collision With Person, Motor Vehicle, or Non-Fixed Object | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Other Non-Fixed Object, Collision With Person, Motor Vehicle, or Non-Fixed Object | 1 | 2.22\% |
| Impact Attenuator/Crash Cushion, Collision With Fixed Object | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Bridge Overhead Structure, Collision With Fixed Object | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Bridge Pier or Support, Collision With Fixed Object | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Bridge Rail, Collision With Fixed Object | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Cable Barrier, Collision With Fixed Object | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Culvert, Collision With Fixed Object | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Curb, Collision With Fixed Object | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Ditch, Collision With Fixed Object | 4 | 8.89\% |
| Embankment, Collision With Fixed Object | 5 | 11.11\% |


| Guardrail Face, Collision With Fixed <br> Object | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Guardrail End, Collision With Fixed Object | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Concrete Traffic Barrier, Collision With <br> Fixed Object | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Other Traffic Barrier, Collision With Fixed <br> Object | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Tree (standing), Collision With Fixed <br> Object | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Utility Pole, Collision With Fixed Object | 2 | $4.44 \%$ |
| Light Support, Collision With Fixed Object | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Traffic Sign Support, Collision With Fixed <br> Object | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Overhead Sign Support, Collision With <br> Fixed Object | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Traffic Signal Support, Collision With <br> Fixed Object | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Fence, Collision With Fixed Object | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Mailbox, Collision With Fixed Object | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Other Post, Pole or Support, Collision <br> With Fixed Object | 1 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Other Fixed Object (wall, building, tunnel, <br> etc.), Collision With Fixed Object | 0 | $2.22 \%$ |
| Ilegally Parked Motor Vehicle, Collision <br> with person, vehicle, or object not fixed | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Stopped Motor Vehicle, Collision with <br> person, vehicle, or object not fixed | 1 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Unknown, Collision With Fixed Object | 45 | $2.22 \%$ |
| Total |  | 0 |


| Primary Contributing Circumstance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Crashes | $\%$ of Total Crashes |
| Speeding | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Failed to yield right of way | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Passed Stop Sign | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Disregard Traffic Signal | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Wrong side or wrong way | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Improper passing | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Improper lane change | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Following too close | 2 | $4.44 \%$ |
| Made improper turn | 2 | $4.44 \%$ |
| Driving under the influence | 1 | $2.22 \%$ |
| Driver inattention, distraction, or fatigue | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Driving in a careless or reckless manner | 3 | $6.67 \%$ |
| Driving in an aggressive manner | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Improper backing | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Other improper driving | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Mechanical defects | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Animal in Roadway - Deer | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Animal in Roadway - Other Animal | 1 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Other environmental circumstances - <br> weather, glare | 0 | $2.22 \%$ |
| Roadway circumstances - debris, holes, <br> work zone | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Other | 1 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Unknown | 10 | $2.22 \%$ |
| Total | 0 |  |


| Driver Contributing Circumstance |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Drivers | \% of Total Drivers |
| No Contributing Action |  | $0.00 \%$ |
| Failed to yield right of way |  | $0.00 \%$ |
| Ran Red Light |  | $0.00 \%$ |
| Ran Stop Sign |  | $0.00 \%$ |
| Disregard other traffic sign |  | $0.00 \%$ |
| Disregard other road markings |  | $0.00 \%$ |
| Exceeded authorized speed limit |  | $0.00 \%$ |
| Driving too fast for conditions |  | $20.00 \%$ |
| Made an improper turn |  | $20.00 \%$ |
| Improper backing |  | $10.00 \%$ |
| Wrong side or wrong way | $0.00 \%$ |  |
| Followed too closely |  | $30.00 \%$ |
| Failure to keep in proper lane |  | $0.00 \%$ |
| Ran off roadway |  | $0.00 \%$ |
| Operating vehicle in erratic, reckless, <br> careless, negligent or aggressive manner |  | $0.00 \%$ |
| Swerving or avoiding due to wind, <br> slippery surface, vehicle, object, non- <br> motorist in roadway, etc. |  | $0.00 \%$ |
| Over-correcting/over-steering |  | $0.00 \%$ |
| Improper Passing |  | $0.00 \%$ |
| Other Contributing Action |  | $10.00 \%$ |
| Unknown |  | $10.00 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |

CTY RD MP C-MP DIR COMP/HQ\# Date Time Day Fat Inj AL LC WC SC FHE PC Class MOI 2008

| S | 329 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0408051721 | $10 / 22 / 08$ | 0805 | 4 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 01 | 01 | 13 |  | 02 | 03 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S | 329 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0408059399 | $12 / 8 / 08$ | 1925 | 2 | 0 | 0 | N | 05 | 01 | 01 | 13 |  | 03 | 04 |
| S | 28 | 8.97 | 8.97 | 5 | 0408042197 | $8 / 28 / 08$ | 1407 | 5 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 04 | 02 | 13 |  | 02 | 01 |
| S | 28 | 9.41 | 9.41 | 5 | 0408048650 | $10 / 3 / 08$ | 2150 | 6 | 0 | 0 | N | 05 | 01 | 01 | 13 |  | 02 | 01 |
| S | 28 | 9.55 | 9.55 | 5 | 0408062504 | $12 / 28 / 08$ | 1100 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Y | 01 | 01 | 01 | 26 |  | 03 | 00 |
| S | 28 | 9.86 | 9.86 | 5 | 0408059993 | $12 / 12 / 08$ | 0727 | 6 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 04 | 02 | 13 |  | 02 | 03 |
| S | 329 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0408058238 | $12 / 1 / 08$ | 1525 | 2 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 01 | 01 | 13 |  | 03 | 01 |
| S | 28 | 9.75 | 9.75 | 5 | 0408046021 | $9 / 18 / 08$ | 1520 | 5 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 01 | 01 | 13 |  | 02 | 01 |
| S | 28 | 9.86 | 9.86 | 5 | 0408055629 | $11 / 14 / 08$ | 2345 | 6 | 0 | 0 | Y | 05 | 04 | 02 | 26 |  | 03 | 00 |
| S | 28 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 0408055367 | $11 / 13 / 08$ | 1520 | 5 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 04 | 02 | 26 |  | 02 | 00 |
| S | 28 | 10.0 | 10.01 | 5 | 0408052339 | $10 / 25 / 08$ | 1920 | 7 | 0 | 0 | N | 05 | 04 | 02 | 13 |  | 02 | 01 |
| S | 28 | 9.62 | 9.62 | 5 | 040804975 | $10 / 10 / 08$ | 1606 | 6 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 01 | 01 | 13 |  | 02 | 03 |
| S | 28 | 9.11 | 9.11 | 5 | 0408048648 | $10 / 3 / 08$ | 2141 | 6 | 0 | 0 | N | 05 | 01 | 01 | 13 |  | 02 | 01 |
| S | 28 | 9.93 | 9.93 | 5 | 0408055370 | $11 / 13 / 08$ | 1517 | 5 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 04 | 02 | 13 |  | 02 | 04 |
| S | 28 | 9.75 | 9.75 | 5 | 0408059646 | $12 / 10 / 08$ | 0758 | 4 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 04 | 02 | 13 |  | 03 | 02 |

2009

| S | 28 | 9.72 | 9.72 | 5 | 0409044015 | $9 / 1 / 09$ | 1520 | 3 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 01 | 01 | 13 |  | 03 | 01 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S | 28 | 9.68 | 9.68 | 5 | 0409044016 | $9 / 1 / 09$ | 1520 | 3 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 01 | 01 | 14 |  | 02 | 01 |
| S | 28 | 9.72 | 9.72 | 5 | 0409016297 | $4 / 12 / 09$ | 0232 | 1 | 0 | 2 | N | 05 | 01 | 01 | 13 |  | 03 | 01 |
| S | 28 | 9.67 | 9.67 | 5 | 0409008468 | $2 / 24 / 09$ | 0838 | 3 | 0 | 1 | N | 01 | 01 | 01 | 13 |  | 03 | 01 |
| S | 329 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0409001032 | $1 / 7 / 09$ | 0802 | 4 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 04 | 02 | 13 |  | 02 | 01 |
| S | 28 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 5 | 0409006222 | $2 / 9 / 09$ | 1242 | 2 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 01 | 01 | 13 |  | 02 | 01 |
| S | 329 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0409014103 | $3 / 29 / 09$ | 2048 | 1 | 0 | 0 | N | 05 | 01 | 01 | 13 |  | 02 | 03 |
| S | 28 | 9.18 | 9.18 | 5 | 0409063840 | $12 / 22 / 09$ | 1918 | 3 | 0 | 0 | N | 05 | 01 | 01 | 32 |  | 02 | 00 |
| S | 28 | 9.83 | 9.83 | 5 | 0409052122 | $10 / 16 / 09$ | 1519 | 6 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 04 | 02 | 26 |  | 02 | 00 |
| S | 28 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 5 | 0409026194 | $6 / 5 / 09$ | 1149 | 6 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 04 | 02 | 26 |  | 03 | 00 |
| S | 28 | 9.69 | 9.69 | 5 | 0409018056 | $4 / 22 / 09$ | 1510 | 4 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 04 | 02 | 13 |  | 02 | 01 |
| S | 28 | 9.85 | 9.85 | 5 | 0409013816 | $3 / 28 / 09$ | 0230 | 7 | 0 | 0 | N | 05 | 04 | 02 | 99 |  | 02 | 00 |
| S | 28 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 5 | 0409013485 | $3 / 26 / 09$ | 0820 | 5 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 04 | 02 | 13 |  | 02 | 01 |
| S | 28 | 9.67 | 9.67 | 5 | 0409057427 | $11 / 15 / 09$ | 2330 | 1 | 0 | 0 | N | 05 | 04 | 02 | 01 |  | 02 | 00 |
| S | 28 | 9.77 | 9.77 | 5 | 0409046906 | $9 / 16 / 09$ | 0710 | 4 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 04 | 02 | 40 |  | 02 | 00 |
| S | 28 | 9.98 | 9.98 | 5 | 0409045442 | $9 / 8 / 09$ | 0815 | 3 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 04 | 02 | 13 |  | 02 | 01 |
| S | 28 | 9.68 | 9.68 | 5 | 0409059968 | $11 / 30 / 09$ | 1516 | 2 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 01 | 02 | 13 |  | 02 | 00 |
| S | 28 | 9.88 | 9.88 | 5 | 0409058819 | $11 / 23 / 09$ | 1712 | 2 | 0 | 0 | N | 05 | 04 | 02 | 32 |  | 02 | 00 |
| S | 28 | 9.15 | 9.15 | 5 | 0409048452 | $9 / 25 / 09$ | 0812 | 6 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 04 | 02 | 13 |  | 02 | 03 |
| S | 329 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0409061655 | $12 / 10 / 09$ | 1519 | 5 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | 01 | 01 | 17 |  | 02 | 00 |

2010

| S | 00028 | 9.84 |  | 0410001139 | $1 / 8 / 10$ | 0530 | 6 | 0 | 0 | N | 05 | $06-$ | 03 | 25 | 12 | 02 | 88 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S | 00028 | 9.79 | 9.79 | 3 | 0410053924 | $10 / 14 / 10$ | 1215 | 5 | 0 | 0 | N | 01 | $04-$ | 02 | 13 | 12 | 02 | 01 |
| S | 00028 | 9.55 |  |  | 0410001162 | $1 / 8 / 10$ | 0748 | 6 | 0 | 0 | N | 04 | $06-$ | 04 | 25 | 99 | 02 | 02 |
| S | 00028 | 9.79 | 9.79 | 3 | 0410054517 | $10 / 17 / 10$ | 1619 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Y | 01 | $01-$ | 01 | 13 | 10 | 02 | 01 |

CTY RD MP C-MP DIR COMP/HQ\# Date Time Day Fat Inj AL LC WC SC FHE PC Class MOI
2010

| S | 00028 | 9.17 | 9.17 | 3 | 0410054805 | $10 / 19 / 10$ | 1300 | 3 | 0 | 1 | $N$ | 01 | $02-$ | 01 | 13 | 09 | 03 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| CT | RD | MP | C-MP | DIR | COMP/HQ\# | Date | Time |  | F |  | Inj | AL | LC | WC | SC | FH | PC | Cl | MOI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S | 00028 | 9.74\| |  |  | 0410004405 | 1/28/10 | 1202 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | N | 01 | 01- | 01 | 13 | 09 | 02 | 03 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S | 00046 | 10.6 | 10.60 | 3 | 0411014149 | 4/1/11 | 0904 | 6 | 0 | 0 |  | N | 01 | 04- | 02 | 13 | 08 | 02 | 01 |
| S | 00028 | 9.53 | 9.53 | 3 | 0411001449 | 1/10/11 | 0745 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | N | 01 | 01- | 04 | 25 | 12 | 02 | 88 |
| S | 00028 | 9.53 | 9.53 | 3 | 0411001456 | 1/10/11 | 0827 | 2 | 0 | 1 |  | N | 01 | 01- | 04 | 25 | 19 | 03 | 03 |
| S | On COU | 9.73 | 9.73 | 3 | 0411003948 | 1/26/11 | 1527 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | N | 03 | 04- | 02 | 13 | 08 | 02 | 01 |

Report generated by tdtsswn at 2011-05-23 06:40:12.185

```
Report Legend
    Cty - County
    Rd - Maintenance Road
    MP - Milepoint
    C-MP - Continuous Milepoint
    DIr - Direction of Highway
    COMP/HQ# - Complaint Number/Headquarters Number
    DAY - Day Of Week Code
    Fat - Fatality
    Inj - Injury
    AL - Alcohol Involved
    LC - Lighting Condition
    WC - Weather Condition
    SC - Surface Condition
    MHE - Most Harmful Event
    PC - Primary Contributing Circumstance
    Class - Report Classification
    MOI - Manner of Impact
```


## APPENDIX B: Crash Diagrams

Site \#1: US Route 9 @ Old Furnace Road / Whaleys Corner Road Intersection


## APPENDIX C: Previous Studies

2000 HSIP - Site K Report
2007 HSIP - Site H Report

## INTRODUCTION

Site K is a 0.89 -mile corridor located southwest of Georgetown along U.S. 9/County Seat Highway (S28) from 1.23 miles east of Road 446B to 0.82 miles west of Road 518A. U.S. 9 is a two-lane, undivided, open-section roadway with shoulders. The posted speed limit on U.S. 9 is 50 miles per hour. Within the limits of the site there is one unsignalized intersection at S46/S329.

## ACCIDENT DATA SUMMARIES

A total of twenty-five accidents were reported during the three-year study period between January 1996 and December 1998. Twelve accidents occurred at the U.S. 9/S46 intersection including eight angle accidents, two northbound rear end accidents and one northbound left-turn accident. Of the eight angle accidents, five involved eastbound S46 vehicles and three involved westbound S46 vehicles. Seven of the eleven accidents resulted in injuries.

The following is a categorical summary of the accidents:
TABLE 1
Accident Data Summary

| Accident Severity |  | Year |  | Collision Type |  | Surface |  | Lighting |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Injury | $10(40 \%)$ | 1996 | $6(24 \%)$ | Rear End | $3(12 \%)$ | Dry | $20(80 \%)$ | Daylight | $16(64 \%)$ |
| PDO | $15(60 \%)$ | 1997 | $8(32 \%)$ | Angle | $13(52 \%)$ | Wet | $2(8 \%)$ | Dark/Unlit | $6(24 \%)$ |
|  |  | 1998 | $11(44 \%)$ | Other | $9(36 \%)$ | Snowy | $2(8 \%)$ | Dawn/Dusk | $3(12 \%)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Unknown | $1(4 \%)$ |  |  |
| Total | 25 |  | 25 |  | 25 |  | 25 |  | 25 |


| Primary Cause |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed too fast - 2 <br> (8\%) | Fail to yield R-O-W - 1 (4\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Pass Stop sign - } \\ \text { (32\%) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Improper passing - 2 <br> (8\%) | Following too closely - 1 (4\%) |
| Made improper turn -1 (4\%) | Driving under influence - 1 (4\%) | Inattentive - 2 (8\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Careless driving - } 3 \\ & (12 \%) \end{aligned}$ | Unknown - 2 (8\%) |
| Other - 2 (8\%) |  |  |  |  |

## FIELD OBSERVATIONS

## U.S. 9/S46/S329 Intersection

- No significant queuing or delay was observed during the morning or evening peak periods. Northbound and southbound through and right-turning vehicles were observed using the shoulder to bypass stopped left-turning vehicles.
- Stop signs on the eastbound S46 approach are located on both sides of the roadway. The stop sign on the right side of the road is set back from the intersection in advance of the Banks Sporting Goods parking lot.
- No stop bars are provided on the eastbound or westbound approaches to U.S. 9 .
- "Stop Ahead" signs exists on both the eastbound and westbound S46/S329 approaches to U.S. 9. The Stop Ahead sign on the eastbound approach is faded and in poor condition and is posted in a ditch, partially blocked by tree branches.
- A wooden fence has recently been installed in the Banks Sporting Goods parking lot on the southwest corner of the intersection, likely to prohibit eastbound right-turning motorists from cutting through the parking lot to head southbound on U.S. 9
- Passing is permitted on U.S. 9 throughout the corridor.
- Motorists on the eastbound and westbound S46/S329 approaches have adequate corner sight distance looking northbound and southbound on U.S. 9 .


## ADDITIONAL STUDIES

Based on conversations with DelDOT Traffic, a signal is planned at the U.S. 9 @ S46/S329 intersection; therefore, the HSIP committee recommended no further studies.


Photo 1: Eastbound S46/S329 approach to Route 9


Photo 2: Westbound S329/S46 approach to Route 9


Photo 3: Southbound Route 9 approach to S46


Photo 4: Northbound Route 9 approach to S46


Photo 5: Eastbound S46 approaching U.S. 9


Photo 6: Westbound S329 approaching U.S. 9

## 2007 Highway Safety Improvement Program - Site H (Rank 38)

## S28 (U.S. 9) - MP 9.60-10.09

From 0.25-mile west of Whaleys Corner Road to 0.24-mile east of Old Furnace Road


## INTRODUCTION

Site H is a 0.49 -mile corridor located west of Georgetown along U.S. 9 from 0.25 -mile west of Whaleys Corner Road to 0.24 -mile east of Old Furnace Road. U.S. 9 is a two-lane, undivided, open-section roadway with shoulders. The posted speed limit along U.S. 9 is 50 miles per hour. The ADT is approximately 9,400 . Within the limits of the site, there is one signalized intersection at Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road.

Other Relevant Projects: U.S. 9 from 1.23 miles east of Road 466B to 0.82 -mile west of Warrington Road was identified as part of 2000 Highway Safety Improvement Program - Site K. At that time, a signal was planned for the intersection of U.S. 9 at Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road; therefore, no additional improvements were recommended. The signal was installed on August 11, 2005.

Additionally, DelDOT Traffic studied the intersection of U.S. 9 at Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road in March 2006 and concluded that split phasing was needed on the northbound and southbound side street approaches. Split phasing was installed on April 11, 2006.

Resurfacing of U.S. 9 is proposed as part of the FY 2008 Paving Program.

## CRASH DATA SUMMARIES

A total of 29 crashes were reported during the four-year study period between January 2003 and December 2006 including 11 ( 38 percent) rear end crashes and 9 ( 31 percent) angle crashes. Eight ( 28 percent) crashes of the 29 total crashes resulted in personal injuries. Additionally, 16 ( 55 percent) crashes occurred on wet pavement. Fourteen (48 percent) crashes occurred during the evening peak hours (3 PM $-6 \mathrm{PM})$. The following is a summary of the crashes by location and type:

- U.S. 9 at Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road - 23 crashes
- "Stop" control (January 1, 2003 through August 11, 2005) - 12 crashes ( 4.6 crashes per year)
- 4 eastbound rear end crashes ( 3 crashes involving left-turning vehicles, 1 crash involving vehicles stopped for a school bus)
- 3 eastbound/southbound angle crashes
- 2 eastbound/northbound angle crashes
- 2 westbound/southbound angle crashes
- 1 southbound right-turn crash
- Traffic signal control - NB/SB concurrent phasing (August 11, 2005 through April 11, 2006) - 6 crashes ( 8.6 crashes per year)
- 2 westbound rear end crashes
- 1 southbound left-turn crash
- 1 westbound crash involving a vehicle that swerved to avoid a stopped westbound vehicle, ran off the road, and struck a tree
- 1 crash involving a southbound left-turning vehicle that lost control and struck a westbound right-turning vehicle
- 1 eastbound rear end crash

Delaware Deparment of Transportation

- Traffic signal control - split phasing on side streets (April 11, 2006- December 31, 2006) - 5 crashes ( 7.1 crasher per year)
- 3 eastbound rear end crashes ( 1 crash involving left-turning vehicles)
- 1 eastbound run off the road crash involving a vehicle that lost control while attempting to stop for a red light
- 1 southbound run off the road/fixed-object crash

A categorical summary of the crashes by type, severity, surface condition, lighting condition, year, and month is shown in Figure 1.

FIGURE 1
Crash Data Summary




## FIELD OBSERVATIONS

## U.S. 9 at Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road

- This intersection operates with no left-turn phasing on the eastbound and westbound U.S. 9 approaches and split phasing on the northbound and southbound Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road approaches to the intersection. The eastbound, northbound and southbound approaches each include a shared left/through/right-turn lane. The westbound approach includes a shared through/leftturn lane and a right-turn lane.
- Signal Ahead warning signs ( 36 " $\times 36$ ") are posted on all approaches to the intersection. A supplemental name plaque is provided with the Signal Ahead sign posted on the northbound approach.
- No lighting is provided at the intersection.
- Utility poles are located along both sides of U.S. 9 in close proximity to the roadway.
- The Street Name sign posted on the northeast corner of the intersection is bent and needs to be replaced.
- Southbound right-turning vehicles drive through part of the parking lot located on the northwest corner of the intersection to complete their turn.
- The stop line located on the westbound U.S. 9 approach does not extend through the right-turn lane.
- Westbound right-turning vehicles drive on the shoulder prior to entering the right-turn lane.
- Southbound vehicles typically pull approximately a full car length beyond the stop line before stopping.
- During field visits, a near miss side swipe crash was observed involving two westbound right-turning vehicles. One vehicle waited until the turn lane begun before moving to the right while the other vehicle was traveling in the shoulder.
- Eastbound and westbound through vehicles bypass left-turning vehicles in the shoulder and right-turn lane, respectively.
- During the AM and PM peaks, southbound queues reach 12 vehicles, northbound queues reach 10 vehicles, westbound queues reach 8 vehicles, and eastbound queues reach 6 vehicles. All queues clear every cycle.
- Passing zones are provided on eastbound U.S. 9, east of Old Furnace Road, and on westbound U.S. 9, west of Old Furnace Road.


## REMEDIAL IMPROVEMENTS

- Resurface U.S. 9 within site limits as part of FY 2008 Paving Program. The proposed pavement marking improvements should be installed following pavement resurfacing.
- Replace the damaged Street Name sign for Old Furnace Road posted on the northeast corner of the U.S. 9 at Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road intersection.
- Extend the stop line located on the westbound U.S. 9 approach to Old Furnace Road through the right-turn lane.
- Eliminate the passing zones (install double yellow centerline) on U.S. 9 within 200 feet of the U.S. 9 at Old Furnace Road.
- Install supplemental name plates with the Signal Ahead signs on the eastbound and westbound U.S. 9 approaches to Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road.

TOTAL COST OF REMEDIAL IMPROVEMENTS - \$300

## ADDITIONAL STUDIES

The HSIP committee recommends performing additional studies to determine whether eastbound and westbound left-turn lanes are warranted at the U.S. 9 at Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road intersection.


Photo 1: Eastbound U.S. 9 at Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road


Photo 2: Westbound U.S. 9 at Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road


Photo 3: Northbound Whaleys Corner Road at U.S. 9


Photo 4: Southbound Old Furnace Road at U.S. 9

## INTRODUCTION

Site H is a 0.49 -mile corridor located west of Georgetown along U.S. 9 from 0.25 -mile west of Whaleys Corner Road to 0.24 -mile east of Old Furnace Road. The posted speed limit along U.S. 9 is 50 miles per hour. The ADT is approximately 9,200 . Within the limits of the site, there is one signalized intersection at Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road.

At the Task I meeting, the HSIP committee recommended performing additional studies to determine whether eastbound and westbound left-turn lanes are warranted at the U.S. 9 at Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road intersection.
Other Relevant Projects: U.S. 9 from 1.23 miles east of Road 466B to 0.82 -mile west of Warrington Road was identified as part of 2000 Highway Safety Improvement Program - Site K. At that time, a signal was planned for the intersection of U.S. 9 at Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road; therefore, no additional improvements were recommended. The signal was installed on August 11, 2005.
Additionally, DelDOT Traffic studied the intersection of U.S. 9 at Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road in March 2006 and concluded that split phasing was needed on the northbound and southbound side street approaches. Split phasing was installed on April 11, 2006.

## U.S. 9 AT OLD FURNACE ROAD/WHALEYS CORNER ROAD

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

Site Description: U.S. 9 is a two-lane, undivided, open-section roadway with shoulders. This intersection operates with no left-turn phasing on the eastbound and westbound U.S. 9 approaches and split phasing on the northbound and southbound Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road approaches to the intersection. The eastbound, northbound and southbound approaches each include a shared left/through/right-turn lane. The westbound approach includes a shared through/left-turn lane and a rightturn lane.

Crash Data Summary: A total of 35 crashes were reported between January 2003 and December 2006 including 18 ( 51 percent) rear end crashes and 11 ( 31 percent) angle crashes. Nine ( 26 percent) crashes of the 35 total crashes resulted in personal injuries. Additionally, 20 ( 57 percent) crashes occurred on wet pavement. Of the eighteen rear end crashes, twelve occurred on wet pavement. Nineteen ( 54 percent) crashes occurred during the evening peak hours ( 3 PM to 6 PM ). The following is a summary of the crashes by location and type:

## U.S. 9 at Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road - 35 crashes

- "Stop" control (January 1, 2003 through August 11, 2005) - 16 crashes ( 5.9 crashes per year)
- 5 eastbound rear end crashes ( 4 crashes involving left-turning vehicles, 1 crash involving vehicles stopped for a school bus)
- 4 eastbound/southbound angle crashes
- 2 eastbound/northbound angle crashes
- 2 westbound/southbound angle crashes
- 1 southbound right-turn crash
- 1 southbound rear end crash
- 1 crash involving a westbound right-turning vehicle that struck a stopped southbound vehicle
- Traffic signal control (August 11, 2005 through December 31, 2006) - 19 crashes (14.6 crashes per year)
- 5 eastbound rear end crashes ( 1 crash involving left-turning vehicles)
- 4 southbound rear end crashes
- 3 westbound rear end crashes ( 1 crash involving left-turning vehicles)
- 1 southbound left-turn crash
- 1 eastbound left-turn crash
- 1 southbound run off the road/fixed-object crash
- 1 eastbound run off the road crash involving a vehicle that lost control while attempting to stop for a red light
- 1 crash involving a westbound right-turning vehicle that struck a stopped southbound vehicle
- 1 westbound crash involving a vehicle that swerved to avoid a stopped westbound vehicle, ran off the road, and struck a tree
- 1 crash involving a southbound left-turning vehicle that lost control and struck a westbound right-turning vehicle

Traffic Volumes: Peak hour turning movement counts were conducted at the U.S. 9 at Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road intersection on Tuesday, May 22, 2007 from 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM, 11:00 AM to 1:00 PM, and 3:00 PM to 6:00 PM and on Saturday, July 21, 2007 from 9:00 AM to 3:00 PM. The count summaries are included in the Appendix. Peak hour turning movements are shown in Figure 1.

## FIGURE 1

Peak Hour Volumes


Field Observations: The following observations were noted during field studies.

- Signal Ahead warning signs ( $36^{\prime \prime} \times 36^{\prime \prime}$ ) are posted on all approaches to the intersection. A supplemental name plaque is provided with the Signal Ahead sign posted on the northbound approach.
- No lighting is provided at the intersection. Utility poles are located along both sides of U.S. 9 in close proximity to the roadway.
- During field visits, a near miss side swipe crash was observed involving two westbound right-turning vehicles. One vehicle waited until the turn lane begun before moving to the right while the other vehicle was traveling in the shoulder.
- Eastbound and westbound through vehicles bypass left-turning vehicles in the shoulder and right-turn lane, respectively.
- During the $A M$ and PM peaks, southbound queues reach 12 vehicles, northbound queues reach 10 vehicles, westbound queues reach 8 vehicles, and eastbound queues reach 6 vehicles. All queues clear every cycle.
- During summer Saturday observations, queues were minimal (averaging 3 vehicles on the northbound, southbound, and westbound approaches). Additionally, the intersection was operating with responsive detection and cycle lengths were generally short.

Speed Study: Vehicle speeds were measured on the U.S. 9 approaches to Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road between 1:30 PM and 2:30 PM on Monday, July 16, 2007. Radar speed distributions are included in the Appendix and results are summarized in Table 1. As shown, the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds along U.S. 9 are 6 and 7 miles per hour above the 50 mph speed limit on the westbound and eastbound approaches, respectively. Vehicle speeds ranged from 36 to 65 mph .

TABLE 1
Speed Data Summary

| Criteria | U.S. 9 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound | Westbound |
| Posted Speed Limit | 50 mph | 50 mph |
| $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed | 57 mph | 56 mph |
| $\%$ more than 5 mph over Speed Limit | $19 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Mean Speed | 53 mph | 50 mph |

## TRAFFIC ANALYSES

Left-Turn Bay Warrant: Currently, westbound left-turns on U.S. 9 are completed from a shared leftturn/through lane and eastbound left-turns on U.S. 9 are completed from a shared left-turn/through/rightturn lane, and through motorists generally shift into the right-turn lane or shoulder to bypass left-turning vehicles. The need for separate left-turn lanes was evaluated based on the NCHRP Report 279 guidelines. These guidelines indicate that left-turn lanes should be considered at all new and existing signalized intersections because of their proven safety effectiveness, their improvement to capacity, the flexibility in
possible signal phasing schemes and their acceptability by the driving public. NCHRP Report 279 provides the following guidance for installing left-turn lanes at existing signalized intersections:

- Left-turn design volume exceeds 20-percent of total approach volume. This guideline is NOT SATISFIED.
- Left-turn design volume exceeds 100 vehicles per hour in peak periods. This guideline is NOT SATISFIED.
- Minimum stopping sight distance is not available to the intersection. This guideline is NOT SATISFIED.
- High-speed rural intersections. This guideline is SATISFIED.

Warrants for Left-Turn Phasing: DelDOT's Signal Design Manual considers the following criteria when considering protected-permissive left-turn phasing. The warrant analysis was performed on the eastbound and westbound U.S. 9 approaches to Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road. Table 2 summarizes the results.

1. Volumes
a. Left-turning vehicles exceed 90 vehicles per hour on the approach for more than two hours of an average day. This warrant is NOT SATISFIED on either approach.
b. The product of left turning vehicles per hour on the approach and conflicting through vehicles per hour on the opposite approach exceeds 125,000 on a six-lane roadway, 100,000 on a four-lane roadway, or 50,000 on a two-lane roadway for more than two hours of an average day. This warrant is NOT SATISFIED on either approach.
c. The left-turn volume on the approach exceeds two (2) vehicles per cycle still waiting at the end of the green phase during the peak hour. This warrant is NOT SATISFIED on either approach.
2. Right-Turns
a. Right-turn volume on the conflicting approach exceeds 350 vehicles per hour in the peak hour. This warrant is NOT SATISFIED for either approach.
b. Left-turn volume on the approach exceeds 90 vehicles per hour in the peak hour. This warrant is NOT SATISFIED on either approach

## 3. U-Turns

a. U-turn volume on the approach exceeds 50 vehicles per hour in the peak hour. This warrant is NOT SATISFIED on either approach.
b. U-turn volume on the approach is greater than 30 percent of the traffic movement from that lane. This warrant is NOT SATISFIED on either approach.
4. Delay
a. Left-turn delay of 2.0 vehicle-hours or more occurs on the approach in a peak hour on a critical approach. This warrant is NOT SATISFIED on either approach.

Site H
b. Average left turning vehicle delay on the approach exceeds 35 seconds in the peak hours. This warrant is NOT SATISFIED on either approach.
c. Left-turn volume on the approach exceeds two vehicles per cycle during the peak hour. This warrant is NOT SATISFIED on either approach.
5. Crashes
a. There were four or more left-turn accidents involving left-turning vehicles from the approach in a one-year period. This warrant is NOT SATISFIED on either approach.
$b$. There were six or more left-turn accidents involving left-turning vehicles from the approach in a two-year period. This warrant is NOT SATISFIED on either approach.
6. Speed
a. The 85 th percentile speed of opposing vehicles to the approach exceeds 45 mph on a four-lane roadway or 40 mph on a six-lane roadway. This warrant is NOT APPLICABLE.

TABLE 2
Permissive-Protected Left-Turn Phasing Warrants Summary

| Warrant |  | U.S. 9 EBL |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. 9 WBL |  |  |  |
| Volumes | No | No |  |
| Exceed 90 vph for more than 2 hours | No | No |  |
| Cross-product greater than 50,000 | No | No |  |
| 2 vehicles per cycle still waiting after phase | No | No |  |
| Right-Turns | No | No |  |
| Exceeds 350 vph in peak hour |  |  |  |
| Left-turn volume exceeds 90 vph in peak hour | No | No |  |
| U-Turns | No | No |  |
| Exceeds 50 vph in peak hour | No | No |  |
| Greater than 30\% of traffic movement | No | No |  |
| Delay | No | No |  |
| 2.0 veh-hr or more during peak hour |  |  |  |
| Average left-turn delay exceeds 35 seconds in peak hours | No | No |  |
| Left-turn volume exceeds 2 vehicles per cycle during peak hour | N |  |  |
| Crashes | No |  |  |
| Four or more left-turn crashes in a 1-year period | No |  |  |
| Six or more left-turn crashes in a 2-year period | No | N/A |  |
| Speed | N | 0 |  |
| 85 ${ }^{\text {th percentile speed greater than 45 mph }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Capacity Analyses: Capacity analyses were conducted using Highway Capacity Manual (SYNCHRO Software) methodology to determine the impacts of restriping the eastbound and westbound U.S. 9 approaches to include a left-turn lane and a shared through/right-turn lane. Analyses were performed for both permissive left-turn phasing and permissive-protected left-turn phasing during the highest peak hours (the AM and PM peaks). As shown in Table 3, the intersection currently operates at level of service (LOS) ' C ' during both the morning and evening peak hours. LOS and delay improve minimally with the installation of left-turn lanes on eastbound and westbound U.S. 9 during the morning peak;
however, delay will increase slightly with the installation of left-turn lanes on the eastbound and westbound (and elimination of the westbound right-turn lane) approaches during the evening peak. Delay will increase slightly with the installation of protected-permissive left-turn phasing.

Reconfiguring the eastbound and westbound U.S. 9 approaches to the intersection can be accommodated within the existing pavement section; however, this would require reducing the width of the shoulders on U.S. 9 and eliminating the westbound right-turn lane. Due to the high number of westbound right-turning movements, analyses were performed for the widening to provide separate left, through and right-turn lanes on the eastbound and westbound approaches to the intersection. As shown, average delay at the intersection will increase slightly compared to existing conditions due to the permissive-protected leftturn phasing, but by less than four seconds per vehicle.

TABLE 3
Capacity Analyses Summary

| Condition | AM Peak Hour $^{2}$ |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay $^{1}$ | LOS $^{2}$ | Delay $^{1}$ | LOS $^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| Existing | 30.9 | C | 20.2 | C |
| EB/WB U.S. 9 left-turn lanes <br> (Permissive Phasing) | 29.6 | C | 22.8 | C |
| EB/WB U.S. 9 left-turn lanes <br> (Permissive-Protected Phasing) | 36.7 | D | 26.4 | C |
| EB/WB U.S. 9 left, through and right-turn <br> lanes (Permissive-Protected Phasing) | 34.3 | C | 23.0 | C |

${ }^{1}$ Delay - Average Delay (seconds) per intersection approach vehicle
${ }^{2}$ LOS - Intersection Level of Service

## TASK II RECOMMENDATION

Both the eastbound and westbound U.S. 9 approaches do not meet the crash or volume warrants for leftturn bays or left-turn phasing; however, left-turn bays could reduce the number of rear end crashes occurring on these approaches by reducing the potential for through vehicles to rear end vehicles that are stopped to make left-turns. Although providing eastbound and westbound left-turn lanes can be accommodated within the existing pavement section, it will require eliminating the westbound right-turn lane. Due to the high volume of westbound right-turning movements and minimal benefits gained in terms of capacity and LOS, installing eastbound and westbound left-turn lanes on U.S. 9 is not recommended.

Based on the high percentage ( 57 percent) of crashes that occurred on wet pavement conditions, the HSIP committee recommends skid testing and pavement resurfacing (if necessary) on the approaches to the U.S. 9 at Whaleys Corner Road/Old Furnace Road intersection to address the wet weather related crashes.

The following signing and pavement marking improvements are recommended as noted in the Task I report:

- Replace the damaged Street Name sign for Old Furnace Road posted on the northeast corner of the U.S. 9 at Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road intersection.
- Extend the stop line located on the westbound U.S. 9 approach to Old Furnace Road through the right-turn lane.
- Eliminate the passing zones (install double yellow centerline) on U.S. 9 within 200 feet of the U.S. 9 at Old Furnace Road.
- Install supplemental name plates with the Signal Ahead signs on the eastbound and westbound U.S. 9 approaches to Old Furnace Road/Whaleys Corner Road.

TOTAL COST OF IMPROVEMENTS - $\$ 300$ (signing and striping improvements only)

## APPENDIX D: Statewide 1.0 Mile Corridors Ranked by Critical Ratio

Delaware - Statewide
Accident Date Range
01/01/2007-12/31/2009

Interval Length
1.0 mile

Parameters
AMBIENT_LIGHT_PARAMETER-05

| Rank | Crit. Ratio | County | Road | Road Name | Beg MP | End MP | \# Accs | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10.12 | 1 | 355D | Harmony Road | 0 | 0.05 | 1 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 2 | 5.93 | 1 | 34H | US 13 | 0 | 0.1 | 1 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 3 | 4.48 | 1 | 367 | Welsh Tract Road | 0 | 0.99 | 19 | Location \#1 |
| 4 | 4.48 | 1 | 367 | Welsh Tract Road | 0.1 | 1.09 | 19 | Location \#1 |
| 5 | 4.01 | 1 | 367 | Welsh Tract Road | 0.2 | 1.19 | 17 | Location \#1 |
| 6 | 4.01 | 1 | 367 | Welsh Tract Road | 0.3 | 1.29 | 17 | Location \#1 |
| 7 | 3.78 | 1 | 367 | Welsh Tract Road | 0.4 | 1.39 | 16 | Location \#1 |
| 8 | 3.76 | 1 | 367 | Welsh Tract Road | 0.5 | 1.49 | 16 | Location \#1 |
| 9 | 3.7 | 3 | 199 | Fowlers Beach Road | 1.2 | 2.15 | 4 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 10 | 3.6 | 1 | 11A | Delaware Park Road | 0 | 0.15 | 4 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 11 | 3.56 | 3 | 246 | Albury Avenue | 0.9 | 1.89 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 12 | 3.55 | 3 | 199 | Fowlers Beach Road | 1.1 | 2.09 | 4 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 13 | 3.51 | 3 | 396 |  | 1.8 | 2.79 | 6 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 14 | 3.51 | 3 | 396 |  | 1.9 | 2.89 | 6 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 15 | 3.46 | 2 | 14 | SR 42 | 3 | 3.91 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 16 | 3.43 | 1 | 31A | Old Limestone Road | 0 | 0.31 | 1 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 17 | 3.43 | 3 | 14C |  | 0 | 0.29 | 1 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 18 | 3.27 | 3 | 60 | SR 54 | 3.1 | 4.09 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 19 | 3.27 | 3 | 60 | SR 54 | 3.2 | 4.19 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 20 | 3.27 | 3 | 60 | SR 54 | 3.3 | 4.29 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 21 | 3.25 | 1 | 38 | St. Andrews School Road | 0 | 0.99 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 22 | 3.23 | 2 | 429 | Mechanic Street | 3.1 | 4.09 | 6 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 23 | 3.23 | 2 | 429 | Mechanic Street | 3.2 | 4.19 | 6 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 24 | 3.23 | 2 | 429 | Mechanic Street | 3.3 | 4.29 | 6 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 25 | 3.18 | 1 | 429 | Mechanic Street | 3.8 | 4.79 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 26 | 3.14 | 2 | 14 | SR 42 | 2.9 | 3.89 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 27 | 3.09 | 2 | 14 | SR 42 | 2.8 | 3.79 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 28 | 3.05 | 2 | 14 | SR 42 | 2.7 | 3.69 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 29 | 3.05 | 3 | 246 | Albury Avenue | 1 | 1.99 | 6 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 30 | 3.04 | 2 | 14 | SR 42 | 2.6 | 3.59 | 5 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 31 | 3.02 | 1 | 367 | Welsh Tract Road | 0.6 | 1.59 | 13 | Location \#1 |
| 32 | 3.02 | 3 | 396 |  | 2.2 | 3.16 | 5 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 33 | 3 | 3 | 542A |  | 0 | 0.18 | 1 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 34 | 2.93 | 3 | 396 |  | 2 | 2.99 | 5 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 35 | 2.93 | 3 | 396 |  | 2.1 | 3.09 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 36 | 2.83 | 1 | 355B |  | 0 | 0.26 | 1 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 37 | 2.83 | 3 | 361 | West Avenue | 2.3 | 3.29 | 8 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 38 | 2.81 | 1 | 12A | Farrand Drive Ext. | 0 | 0.1 | 2 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 39 | 2.8 | 3 | 60 | SR 54 | 4.8 | 5.79 | 6 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 40 | 2.8 | 3 | 60 | SR 54 | 4.9 | 5.89 | 6 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 41 | 2.8 | 3 | 60 | SR 54 | 5 | 5.99 | 6 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 42 | 2.79 | 3 | 361 | West Avenue | 2.2 | 3.19 | 8 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 43 | 2.78 | 3 | 361 | West Avenue | 2.1 | 3.09 | 8 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 44 | 2.75 | 3 | 261 |  | 1.1 | 2.09 | 8 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 45 | 2.71 | 1 | 429 | Mechanic Street | 3.7 | 4.69 | 6 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 46 | 2.71 | 3 | 544 |  | 0.2 | 1.19 | 6 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 47 | 2.69 | 1 | 224 | Upper Snuffmill Road | 0 | 0.95 | 6 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 48 | 2.69 | 1 | 429 | Mechanic Street | 3.6 | 4.59 | 6 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 49 | 2.69 | 2 | 429 | Mechanic Street | 2.8 | 3.79 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 50 | 2.69 | 2 | 429 | Mechanic Street | 2.9 | 3.89 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 51 | 2.69 | 2 | 429 | Mechanic Street | 3 | 3.99 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 52 | 2.66 | 3 | 199 | Fowlers Beach Road | 0.8 | 1.79 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 53 | 2.66 | 3 | 199 | Fowlers Beach Road | 0.9 | 1.89 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 54 | 2.66 | 3 | 199 | Fowlers Beach Road | 1 | 1.99 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 55 | 2.65 | 1 | 315A |  | 0 | 0.08 | 1 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 56 | 2.65 | 3 | 261 |  | 1.2 | 2.19 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 57 | 2.58 | 1 | 318A | St. James Church Road | 0 | 0.24 | 1 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 58 | 2.58 | 3 | 246 |  | 0.5 | 1.49 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 59 | 2.58 | 3 | 544 |  | 0.1 | 1.09 | 6 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 60 | 2.56 | 3 | 246 | Albury Avenue | 0.6 | 1.59 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 61 | 2.55 | 2 | 127 |  | 0 | 0.41 | 1 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 62 | 2.55 | 3 | 246 | Albury Avenue | 0.7 | 1.69 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 63 | 2.55 | 3 | 246 | Albury Avenue | 0.8 | 1.79 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 64 | 2.55 | 3 | 246 | Albury Avenue | 1.1 | 2.09 | 5 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |

Delaware - Statewide Accident Date Range
01/01/2007-12/31/2009

Interval Length
1.0 mile

Parameters
AMBIENT_LIGHT_PARAMETER-05

| Rank | Crit. Ratio | County | Road | Road Name | Beg MP | End MP | \# Accs | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65 | 2.55 | 3 | 261 |  | 1 | 1.99 | 8 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 66 | 2.51 | 3 | 28 | US 9 | 6 | 6.99 | 14 | Location \#2 |
| 67 | 2.5 | 2 | 295 |  | 0 | 0.99 | 3 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 68 | 2.5 | 2 | 295 |  | 0.1 | 1.09 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 69 | 2.46 | 3 | 361 | West Avenue | 1.9 | 2.89 | 8 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 70 | 2.44 | 2 | 88 |  | 0.2 | 1.19 | 4 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 71 | 2.43 | 2 | 14 | SR 42 | 2.4 | 3.39 | 4 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 72 | 2.43 | 2 | 14 | SR 42 | 2.5 | 3.49 | 4 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 73 | 2.42 | 2 | 88 |  | 0.3 | 1.29 | 4 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 74 | 2.4 | 2 | 88 |  | 0.4 | 1.39 | 4 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 75 | 2.4 | 3 | 544 |  | 0.3 | 1.29 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 76 | 2.38 | 2 | 14 | SR 42 | 2.3 | 3.29 | 4 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 77 | 2.37 | 1 | 454 | Sawmill Branch Road | 0.5 | 1.46 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 78 | 2.37 | 3 | 28 | US 9 | 5.9 | 6.89 | 13 | Location \#2 |
| 79 | 2.35 | 1 | 275 | Golden Ring Road | 1.4 | 2.39 | 8 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 80 | 2.35 | 1 | 275 | Golden Ring Road | 1.5 | 2.49 | 8 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 81 | 2.35 | 3 | 261 |  | 0.9 | 1.89 | 8 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 82 | 2.34 | 2 | 88 |  | 0.5 | 1.49 | 4 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 83 | 2.34 | 3 | 396 |  | 1.7 | 2.69 | 4 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 84 | 2.34 | 3 | 60 | SR 54 | 3 | 3.99 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 85 | 2.34 | 3 | 60 | SR 54 | 3.4 | 4.39 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 86 | 2.34 | 3 | 60 | SR 54 | 3.5 | 4.49 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 87 | 2.34 | 3 | 60 | SR 54 | 3.6 | 4.59 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 88 | 2.34 | 3 | 60 | SR 54 | 3.7 | 4.69 | 5 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 89 | 2.34 | 3 | 60 | SR 54 | 3.8 | 4.79 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 90 | 2.34 | 3 | 60 | SR 54 | 5.1 | 6.09 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 91 | 2.32 | 3 | 361 |  | 2 | 2.99 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 92 | 2.31 | 2 | 271 |  | 3.1 | 4.01 | 2 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 93 | 2.28 | 1 | 260 | Brecks Lane Road | 0 | 0.57 | 2 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 94 | 2.28 | 2 | 384 |  | 3 | 3.99 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 95 | 2.27 | 2 | 88 |  | 0.1 | 1.09 | 4 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 96 | 2.22 | 1 | 429 | Mechanic Street | 3.5 | 4.49 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 97 | 2.22 | 2 | 207 |  | 2.9 | 3.89 | 4 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 98 | 2.22 | 2 | 207 |  | 3 | 3.99 | 4 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 99 | 2.21 | 1 | 429 | Mechanic Street | 3.3 | 4.29 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 100 | 2.21 | 1 | 82 | SR 1 | 5.5 | 6.49 | 12 | Location \#3 |
| 101 | 2.19 | 3 | 261 |  | 0.8 | 1.79 | 8 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 102 | 2.17 | 1 | 275 | Golden Ring Road | 1.6 | 2.59 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 103 | 2.17 | 1 | 469 | Black Diamond Road | 0.4 | 1.39 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 104 | 2.17 | 1 | 469 | Black Diamond Road | 0.5 | 1.49 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 105 | 2.17 | 1 | 469 | Black Diamond Road | 0.6 | 1.59 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 106 | 2.17 | 1 | 469 | Black Diamond Road | 0.7 | 1.69 | 3 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 107 | 2.16 | 3 | 28 | US 9 | 9.1 | 10.09 | 13 | Location \#4 |
| 108 | 2.15 | 2 | 30 | Main Street | 1.5 | 2.49 | 9 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 109 | 2.15 | 2 | 429 |  | 2.7 | 3.69 | 4 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 110 | 2.15 | 2 | 429 |  | 3.4 | 4.39 | 4 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 111 | 2.15 | 2 | 429 |  | 3.6 | 4.59 | 4 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 112 | 2.15 | 3 | 353 |  | 2.3 | 3.29 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 113 | 2.15 | 3 | 525 |  | 1.8 | 2.79 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 114 | 2.15 | 3 | 525 |  | 1.9 | 2.89 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 115 | 2.15 | 3 | 525 |  | 2 | 2.99 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 116 | 2.15 | 3 | 525 |  | 2.1 | 3.09 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 117 | 2.15 | 3 | 525 |  | 2.2 | 3.19 | 7 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 118 | 2.14 | 3 | 28 | US 9 | 6.1 | 7.09 | 12 | Location \#2 |
| 119 | 2.14 | 3 | 361 |  | 2.4 | 3.39 | 6 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 120 | 2.13 | 1 | 452 | Fieldsboro Road | 0 | 0.99 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 121 | 2.13 | 1 | 452 | Fieldsboro Road | 0.1 | 1.09 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 122 | 2.13 | 1 | 452 | Fieldsboro Road | 0.2 | 1.19 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 123 | 2.13 | 1 | 452 | Fieldsboro Road | 0.3 | 1.29 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 124 | 2.13 | 1 | 452 | Fieldsboro Road | 0.4 | 1.39 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 125 | 2.13 | 1 | 452 | Fieldsboro Road | 0.5 | 1.49 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 126 | 2.13 | 1 | 452 | Fieldsboro Road | 0.6 | 1.59 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 127 | 2.13 | 2 | 30 | Main Street | 1.6 | 2.59 | 9 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 128 | 2.13 | 3 | 353 |  | 2.4 | 3.39 | 3 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |

Delaware - Statewide Accident Date Range
01/01/2007-12/31/2009

Interval Length
1.0 mile

Parameters
AMBIENT_LIGHT_PARAMETER-05

| Rank | Crit. Ratio | County | Road | Road Name | Beg MP | End MP | \# Accs | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 129 | 2.12 | 2 | 8 | US 113 | 9.6 | 10.59 | 13 | Location \#5 |
| 130 | 2.12 | 3 | 24 | SR 24 | 18.2 | 19.19 | 7 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 131 | 2.12 | 3 | 24 | SR 24 | 18.4 | 19.39 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 132 | 2.12 | 3 | 24 | SR 24 | 18.5 | 19.49 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 133 | 2.11 | 3 | 246 | Albury Avenue | 0.3 | 1.29 | 4 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 134 | 2.11 | 3 | 261 |  | 1.3 | 2.29 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 135 | 2.11 | 3 | 353 |  | 2.1 | 3.09 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 136 | 2.11 | 3 | 477 |  | 0 | 0.99 | 3 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 137 | 2.1 | 1 | 26 | Old Baltimore Pike | 2.5 | 3.49 | 21 | Location \#6 |
| 138 | 2.1 | 3 | 48 |  | 7.2 | 8.19 | 7 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 139 | 2.1 | 3 | 48 |  | 7.3 | 8.29 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 140 | 2.1 | 3 | 48 |  | 7.4 | 8.39 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 141 | 2.1 | 3 | 48 |  | 7.5 | 8.49 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 142 | 2.1 | 3 | 48 |  | 7.6 | 8.59 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 143 | 2.1 | 3 | 48 |  | 7.7 | 8.69 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 144 | 2.1 | 3 | 48 |  | 7.8 | 8.79 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 145 | 2.1 | 3 | 48 |  | 7.9 | 8.89 | 7 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 146 | 2.1 | 3 | 48 |  | 8 | 8.99 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 147 | 2.09 | 2 | 30 | Main Street | 0.7 | 1.69 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 148 | 2.09 | 3 | 246 | Albury Avenue | 0.4 | 1.39 | 4 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 149 | 2.09 | 3 | 28 | US 9 | 8.9 | 9.89 | 12 | Location \#4 |
| 150 | 2.07 | 3 | 246 | Albury Avenue | 1.8 | 2.7 | 3 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 151 | 2.07 | 3 | 326 | State Street | 0.8 | 1.79 | 8 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 152 | 2.06 | 2 | 188 |  | 0 | 0.32 | 1 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 153 | 2.06 | 3 | 353 |  | 2 | 2.99 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 154 | 2.06 | 3 | 353 |  | 2.5 | 3.49 | 3 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 155 | 2.05 | 1 | 26 | Old Baltimore Pike | 2.8 | 3.79 | 21 | Location \#6 |
| 156 | 2.05 | 3 | 594 |  | 0 | 0.99 | 6 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 157 | 2.04 | 2 | 30 | Main Street | 0.4 | 1.39 | 7 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 158 | 2.04 | 3 | 246 |  | 1.2 | 2.19 | 4 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 159 | 2.04 | 3 | 28 | US 9 | 9 | 9.99 | 12 | Location \#4 |
| 160 | 2.04 | 3 | 544 |  | 0.4 | 1.39 | 4 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 161 | 2.03 | 2 | 303 |  | 1.2 | 2.19 | 2 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 162 | 2.02 | 1 | 301 | Thompson's Station Road | 0.3 | 1.29 | 9 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 163 | 2.02 | 1 | 301 | Thompson's Station Road | 0.4 | 1.39 | 9 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 164 | 2.02 | 2 | 54 | Main Street | 0.1 | 1.09 | 6 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 165 | 2.02 | 2 | 54 | Main Street | 0.2 | 1.19 | 6 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 166 | 2.02 | 2 | 54 | Main Street | 0.3 | 1.29 | 6 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 167 | 2.02 | 2 | 54 | Main Street | 0.4 | 1.39 | 6 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 168 | 2.02 | 2 | 54 | Main Street | 0.5 | 1.49 | 6 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 169 | 2.01 | 3 | 28 | US 9 | 5.8 | 6.79 | 11 | Location \#4 |
| 170 | 2.01 | 3 | 488 |  | 2.8 | 3.79 | 4 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 171 | 2.01 | 3 | 488 |  | 2.9 | 3.89 | 4 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 172 | 2 | 1 | 82 | SR 1 | 5.6 | 6.59 | 12 | Location \#3 |
| 173 | 2 | 1 | 9 | SR 52 | 3.2 | 4.19 | 16 | Location \#7 |
| 174 | 2 | 2 | 8 | US 113 | 9.7 | 10.69 | 12 | Location \#5 |
| 175 | 1.99 | 3 | 361 |  | 1.8 | 2.79 | 7 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 176 | 1.99 | 3 | 479A |  | 0 | 0.6 | 2 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 177 | 1.98 | 1 | 26 | Old Baltimore Pike | 2.6 | 3.59 | 20 | Location \#6 |
| 178 | 1.98 | 1 | 26 | Old Baltimore Pike | 2.9 | 3.89 | 20 | Location \#6 |
| 179 | 1.98 | 1 | 9 | SR 52 | 3.1 | 4.09 | 16 | Location \#7 |
| 180 | 1.98 | 2 | 325 | Big Oak Road | 1.4 | 2.39 | 4 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 181 | 1.98 | 2 | 73 | North Street | 4.3 | 5.29 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 182 | 1.98 | 3 | 207 |  | 1.3 | 2.29 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 183 | 1.98 | 3 | 353 |  | 1.9 | 2.89 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 184 | 1.98 | 3 | 544 |  | 1 | 1.99 | 2 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 185 | 1.97 | 1 | 26 | Old Baltimore Pike | 2.7 | 3.69 | 20 | Location \#6 |
| 186 | 1.97 | 2 | 30 | Main Street | 1.4 | 2.39 | 8 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 187 | 1.97 | 3 | 2 | US 13 | 3.6 | 4.59 | 18 | Location \#8 |
| 188 | 1.96 | 1 | 383 | Church Road | 0.4 | 1.37 | 8 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 189 | 1.96 | 2 | 30 | Main Street | 0.3 | 1.29 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 190 | 1.96 | 2 | 384 |  | 3.1 | 4.09 | 6 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 191 | 1.96 | 3 | 16 | SR 16 | 25.8 | 26.79 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 192 | 1.96 | 3 | 16 | SR 16 | 25.9 | 26.89 | 5 | <10 accidents - Did not meet criteria |

Delaware - Statewide Accident Date Range 01/01/2007-12/31/2009

Interval Length
1.0 mile

Parameters
AMBIENT_LIGHT_PARAMETER-05

| Rank | Crit. Ratio | County | Road | Road Name | Beg MP | End MP | \# Accs | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 193 | 1.96 | 3 | 16 | SR 16 | 26 | 26.99 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 194 | 1.96 | 3 | 16 | SR 16 | 26.1 | 27.09 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 195 | 1.96 | 3 | 353 |  | 2.6 | 3.59 | 3 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 196 | 1.95 | 2 | 73 | North Street | 4.2 | 5.19 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 197 | 1.95 | 3 | 326 | State Street | 0.7 | 1.69 | 8 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 198 | 1.94 | 1 | 32 | US 40 | 4.2 | 5.19 | 25 | Location \#9 |
| 199 | 1.94 | 2 | 73 | North Street | 4.4 | 5.39 | 7 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 200 | 1.94 | 3 | 213 | Walnut Street | 8.1 | 9.09 | 5 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 201 | 1.94 | 3 | 484 |  | 2.7 | 3.69 | 4 | $<10$ accidents - Did not meet criteria |
| 202 | 1.92 | 1 | 17 | SR 92 | 0 | 0.99 | 17 | Location \#10 |

